637

, PUBLIC WORKS.

Location.	Length.	Width at			Depth of	Rise of tide.	
		Coping.	Bottom.	Entrance.	water on sill.	Spring.	Neap.
-	Feet.	Feet.	Feet.	Feet.	Feet.	Feet.	Feet.
Lévis, Que	600	100	73	62	261	$26\frac{1}{2}$	$20\frac{1}{2}$
Esquimalt, B.C.	430	90	41	65	$26\frac{1}{2}$	7 to 10	3 to 8
Kingston, Ont	315	70	47	69	$14rac{1}{3}$ & $16rac{1}{2}$	-	-

20.-Dimensions of Graving Docks owned by the Dominion Government.

21.—Dimensions and Cost of Graving Docks subsidized under the Dry Dock Subsidies Act, 1910.

Location.	Length.	Width.	Depth over sill.	Total cost.	Subsidy.	
	Feet.	Feet.	Feet.	\$		
Collingwood, Ont	350 ·	55	$16\frac{1}{2}$	500,000	3 p.c. for 20 years.	
" No. 2	420	95	16	306,965	3 p.c. for 20 years.	
Port Arthur, Ont	700	66	15	1,258,050	3 p.c. for 20 years.	
Montreal, Que	600	100	$27\frac{1}{2}$	3,000,000	31 p.c. for 35 years.	
Prince Rupert, B.C	600	100	2 5	2,199,168	$3\frac{1}{2}$ p.c. for 25 years.	
Sault Ste. Marie, Ont	650	77	$18\frac{1}{2}$	1,326,529	3 p.c. for 20 years. ¹	
Toronto, Ont	300	80	15	894,121	3 p.c. for 20 years. ¹	
St. John, N.B	t. John, N.B 1,150 (Plans not yet approved, but will be simila those of the new Lévis dock.)					

¹Not yet commenced.

Expenditure and Revenue.—Table 22 shows the expenditure and revenue for the fiscal years 1912-16 of the Public Works Department of the Dominion Government. For the year 1915-16, the expenditure was \$19,539,298, as compared with \$29,283,317 in 1914-15, a decrease of \$9,744,019. The revenue for the year shows an increase of \$22,470, accounted for by increases in slides and booms, graving dock and casual returns amounting to \$87,724 and decreases in rents and telegraph returns of \$65,254.